

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1430 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/540,721	03/28/2006	Georg Gros	DNAG-305	2415
24972 7590 06/02/2009 FULBRIGHT & JAWORSKI, LLP			EXAMINER	
666 FIFTH AV	Æ		LIGHTFOOT, ELENA TSOY	
NEW YORK, NY 10103-3198			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1792	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/02/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/540,721 GROS ET AL. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit Elena Tsoy Lightfoot 1792 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 March 2006. 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 41-107 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) _____ is/are rejected 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 41-107 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are; a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abevance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

Information Disclosure Statement(s) (FTO/S5/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _______.

Attachment(s)

Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date.

6) Other:

5 Notice of Informal Patent Application

Application/Control Number: 10/540,721

Art Unit: 1792

Election/Restrictions

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 41-96, and 107, drawn to a process for coating a metallic surface.

Group II, claim 97, drawn to an organic, anionically, cationically or/and radically curable anticorrosive composition.

Group III, claims 98-104, drawn to an organic, anionically, cationically or/and radically cured anticorrosive coating.

Group IV, claims 105-106, drawn to a metallic substrate having a surface coated according to the process of claim 41 or 42.

The inventions listed as Groups I-IV do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical feature for the following reasons: Groups I-IV lack unity of invention because even though the inventions of these groups require a radiation curable anticorrosive composition of claim 97, this technical feature shared by the groups is <u>not</u> a special technical feature as **it does** not make a contribution over the prior art in view of Shustack (US 5,128,387). The shared technical feature lacks novelty because radiation curable anticorrosive composition of claim 97 are obvious over Shustack. Shustack describes a radiation curable (anticorrosive) composition

Application/Control Number: 10/540,721

Art Unit: 1792

containing three essential ingredients: 15-75 wt % of a bulky monomer component; 10-80 wt % an oligomeric component, 0.3-10 wt % of an organic adhesion promoter (See column 2, lines 24-48) for bonding the cured coating to the metal surface (i.e. <u>claimed organic corrosion inhibitor</u>) (See column 4, lines 27-34), and 0.3-10 wt % of a photoinitiator (See column 9, lines 22-25). If the coating is cured using an electron beam process, a photoinitiator is not needed; for UV and other types of radiation curing, the photoinitiator is usually an essential component (See column 3, lines 60-64). Pigments and dyes may be included to achieve desired visual effects (See column 4, lines 1-3).

It is held that the groups of claims lack unity of the invention if the technical feature shared by groups does not make a contribution over the prior art. See form paragraph 18.07.02. For these reasons the unity does not exist between the groups of claims.

A telephone call was made to James R. Crawford on May 28, 2009 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Elena Tsoy Lightfoot whose telephone number is 571-272-1429. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 9:00AM - 5:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Timothy Meeks can be reached on 571-272-1423. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 1792

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Elena Tsoy Lightfoot, Ph.D. Primary Examiner Art Unit 1792

June 1, 2009

/Elena Tsoy Lightfoot/